

## The Reciprocal of $\sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n$ for non-commuting $a$ and $b$ , Catalan numbers and non-commutative quadratic equations

Arkady Berenstein, Vladimir Retakh, Christophe Reutenauer,  
 and Doron Zeilberger

NOTE. This article is accompanied by the Maple package NCFPS downloadable from  
<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/NCFPS>

The aim of this paper is to describe the inversion of the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are non-commuting variables as a formal series in  $a$  and  $b$ . We show that the inversion satisfies a non-commutative quadratic equation and that the number of certain monomials in its homogeneous components equals a Catalan number. We also study general solutions of similar quadratic equations.

### 1. Inverting $\sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n$ .

Our goal is to find an inverse of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are non-commuting variables. The answer to this question is given by the following theorem.

Let  $a, b, x$  be (completely!) **non-commuting** variables (“indeterminates”). Define a sequence of polynomials  $d_n(a, b, x)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) recursively as follows:

$$d_1(a, b, x) = 1 \quad , \quad (1a)$$

$$d_n(a, b, x) = d_{n-1}(a, b, x)x + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} d_{n-k}(a, b, x) a d_k(a, b, x) b \quad (n \geq 2) \quad .(1b)$$

Also define the sequence of polynomials  $c_n(a, b, x)$  as follows:

$$c_n(a, b, x) = a d_n(a, b, x) b \quad (n \geq 1) \quad .$$

THEOREM 1.

$$1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(a, b, ab - ba) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n \right)^{-1} .$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16T30, 05A15, 05A19.

*Key words and phrases.* Catalan numbers, noncommutative formal series, quasideterminants.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1101507.

The second author was supported in part by NSA grant H98230-11-1-0136.

The fourth author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0901226.

It follows immediately that the number of monomials in  $a, b$  and  $x$  in the polynomial  $d_n(a, b, x)$  is the  $(n - 1)$ -th Catalan number. In particular,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = x$ ,  $d_3 = x^2 + axb$ ,

$$d_4 = x^3 + ax^2b + axbx + xaxb + a^2xb^2,$$

$$d_5 = x^4 + ax^2bx + axbx^2 + xaxbx + a^2xb^2x + x^2axb + axbaxb \\ + xax^2b + xaxb^2 + ax^3b + a^2x^2b^2 + a^2xbxb + axaxb^2 + a^3xb^3.$$

We will give an algebraic and a combinatorial proof of the theorem. A simple algebraic proof is based on two lemmas.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $S$  be a formal series in  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $S = 1 + aSb$ . Observe that the inverse of  $S$  is of the form  $1 - C$  where  $C = aDb$  and the series  $D$  satisfies the equation*

$$D = 1 + D(x - ab) + DaDb \quad (2)$$

and  $x = ab - ba$ .

PROOF. We are looking for the inverse of  $S$  in the form  $1 - C$  where  $C = aDb$ . We have

$$CS = (1 - S^{-1})S = S - 1 = aSb.$$

Hence

$$C(1 + aSb) = aSb,$$

$$C + CaSb = aSb,$$

$$aDb + aDbaSb = aSb.$$

So,

$$D + DbaS = S$$

and

$$D(1 + baS) = S$$

or

$$D(S^{-1} + ba) = 1.$$

It implies that

$$D(1 - C + ba) = 1$$

and

$$D = 1 + DaDb - Dba$$

which immediately implies equation (2).  $\square$

LEMMA 3. *Let the degree of indeterminates  $a$  and  $b$  in equation (2) equal one and the degree of  $x$  equal two. Then the solution of equation (2) is given by formula*

$$D = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n(a, b, x)$$

where polynomials  $d_n(a, b, x)$  satisfy equations (1).

PROOF. Note that  $D = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n$  where  $d_n = d_n(a, b, x)$  are homogeneous polynomials in  $a$  and  $b$  of degree  $2n - 2$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The terms of degree 0 and 2 are:  $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_2 = x$ .

Take the term of degree  $2n - 2$ ,  $n \geq 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= d_{n-1}(x-ab) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_{n-k} a d_k b = d_{n-1}(x-ab) + d_{n-1} a b + d_1 a d_{n-1} b + \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} d_{n-k} c_k = \\ &= d_{n-1} x + a d_{n-1} b + \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} d_{n-k} c_k. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S = \sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n$ . Then  $S$  satisfies equation  $S = 1 + aSb$  and Theorem 1 follows from Lemmas 2 and 3.

**Combinatorial Proof:** Consider the set of *lattice walks* in the 2D rectangular lattice, starting at the origin,  $(0, 0)$  and ending at  $(n - 1, n - 1)$ , where one can either make a *horizontal* step  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i + 1, j)$ , (weight  $a$ ), a *vertical* step  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i, j + 1)$ , (weight  $b$ ) or a *diagonal* step  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i + 1, j + 1)$ , (weight  $x$ ), always staying in the region  $i \geq j$ , and where you can never have a horizontal step followed immediately by a vertical step. In other words, you may never venture to the region  $i < j$ , and you can never have the Hebrew letter Nun (alias the mirror-image of the Latin letter  $L$ ) when you draw the path on the plane. The weight of a path is the product (in order!) of the weights of the individual steps.

For example, when  $n = 2$  the only possible path is  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 1)$ , whose weight is  $x$ .

When  $n = 3$  we have two paths. The path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 1) \rightarrow (2, 2)$  whose weight is  $x^2$  and the path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (2, 1) \rightarrow (2, 2)$  whose weight is  $axb$ .

When  $n = 4$  we have five paths:

The path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 1) \rightarrow (2, 2) \rightarrow (3, 3)$  whose weight is  $x^3$ ,  
 the path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (2, 1) \rightarrow (3, 2) \rightarrow (3, 3)$  whose weight is  $ax^2b$ ,  
 the path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (2, 1) \rightarrow (2, 2) \rightarrow (3, 3)$  whose weight is  $axbx$ ,  
 the path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 1) \rightarrow (2, 1) \rightarrow (3, 2) \rightarrow (3, 3)$  whose weight is  $xaxb$ , and  
 the path  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (2, 0) \rightarrow (3, 1) \rightarrow (3, 2) \rightarrow (3, 3)$  whose weight is  $a^2xb^2$ .

It is very well-known, and rather easy to see, that the number of such paths are given by the Catalan numbers  $C(n - 1)$ , [2] <http://oeis.org/A000108>.

We claim that the *weight-enumerator* of the set of such walks equals  $d_n(a, b, x)$ . Indeed, since the walk ends on the diagonal, at the point  $(n - 1, n - 1)$ , the last step must be either a diagonal step

$$(n - 2, n - 2) \rightarrow (n - 1, n - 1) \quad ,$$

whose weight-enumerator, by the inductive hypothesis is  $d_{n-1}(a, b, x)x$ , or else let  $k$  be the smallest integer such that the walk passed through  $(n - k - 1, n - k - 1)$  (i.e. the penultimate encounter with the diagonal). Note that  $k$  can be anything between 2 and  $n - 1$ . The weight-enumerator of the set of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n - k - 1, n - k - 1)$  is  $d_{n-k}(a, b, x)$ , and the weight-enumerator of the set of paths from  $(n - k - 1, n - k - 1)$  to  $(n - 1, n - 1)$  that never touch the diagonal, is  $ad_k(a, b, x)b$ . So the weight-enumerator is  $d_{n-k}(a, b, x) a d_k(a, b, x)b$  giving the above recurrence for  $d_n(a, b, x)$ .

It follows that  $c_n(a, b, x) = ad_n(a, b, x)b$  is the weight-enumerator of all paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, n)$  as above with the additional property that except at the beginning  $((0, 0))$  and the end  $((n, n))$  they always stay **strictly** below the diagonal.

Now what does  $c_n(a, b, ab - ba)$  weight-enumerate? Now there is a new rule in Manhattan, “no shortcuts”, one may not walk diagonally. So every diagonal step  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i + 1, j + 1)$  must decide whether to go first horizontally, and then vertically  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i + 1, j) \rightarrow (i + 1, j + 1)$ , replacing  $x$  by  $ab$ , or to go first vertically, and then horizontally  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i, j + 1) \rightarrow (i + 1, j + 1)$ , replacing  $x$  by  $-ba$ .

This has to be decided, independently for each of the diagonal steps that formerly had weight  $x$ . So a path with  $r$  diagonal steps gives rise to  $2^r$  new paths with sign  $(-1)^s$  where  $s$  is the number of places where it was decided to go through the second option.

So  $c_n(a, b, ab - ba)$  is the weight-enumerators of pairs of paths  $[P, K]$  where  $P$  is the original path featuring a certain number of diagonal steps  $r$ , and  $K$  is one of its  $2^r$  “children”, paths with only horizontal and vertical steps, and weight  $\pm weight(P)$ , where we have a plus-sign if an even number of the  $r$  diagonal steps became *vertical-then-horizontal* (i.e.  $ba$ ) and a minus-sign otherwise.

As we look at the weights of the children  $K$ , sometimes we have the same path coming from different parents. Let’s call a pair  $[P, K]$  *bad* if the path  $P$  has a “ $ba$ ” *strictly-under* the diagonal, i.e. a “vertical step followed by a horizontal step” that does not touch the diagonal. Write  $K$  as  $K = w_1(ba)^s w_2$  where  $w_1$  does not have any sub-diagonal  $ba$ ’s and  $s$  is as large as possible. Then the parent must be either of the form  $P = W_1 x^s W_2$  where the  $x^s$  corresponds to the  $(ba)^s$ , or of the form  $P' = W_1 b x^{s-1} a W_2$ . In the former case attach  $[W_1 x^s W_2, K]$  to  $[W_1 b x^{s-1} a W_2, K]$  and in the latter case vice-versa. This is a weight-preserving and **sign-reversing** involution among the bad pairs, so they all kill each other.

It remains to weight-enumerate the *good pairs*. It is easy to see that the good pairs are pairs  $[P, K]$  where  $K$  has the form  $K = a^{i_1} b^{i_1} a^{i_2} b^{i_2} \dots a^{i_s} b^{i_s}$  for some  $s \geq 1$  and integers  $i_1, \dots, i_s \geq 1$  summing up to  $n$  (this is called a *composition* of  $n$ ). It is easy to see that for each such  $K$ , (coming from a good pair  $[P, K]$ ) there can only be **one** possible *parent*  $P$ . The sign of a good pair

$$[P, a^{i_1} b^{i_1} a^{i_2} b^{i_2} \dots a^{i_s} b^{i_s}] \quad ,$$

is  $(-1)^{s-1}$ , since it touches the diagonal  $s - 1$  times, and each of these touching points came from an  $x$  that was turned into  $-ba$ .

So  $1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(a, b, ab - ba)$  turned out to be the sum of all the weights of compositions (vectors of positive integers)  $(i_1, \dots, i_s)$  with the weight  $(-1)^s a^{i_1} b^{i_1} \dots a^{i_s} b^{i_s}$  over *all* compositions, but the same is true of

$$\left( \sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n \right)^{-1} = \left( 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a^n b^n \right)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (-1)^s \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} a^n b^n \right)^s \quad .$$

QED!

### 2. Inversion of $1 - aDb$ in the general case

The following is a variant of of path’s model used in Section 1. Call *Dyck path* a path that starts at the origin, ends on the  $x$ -axis, that uses the steps  $(1, 1)$  (denoted by  $a$ ) and  $(1, -1)$  (denoted by  $b$ ), and that never goes below the  $x$ -axis. It is coded

by a *Dyck word*, e.g. *aaababbabb*. Formally, a Dyck word has as many *a*'s than *b*'s, and each prefix of it has at least as many *a*'s as *b*'s.

If we replace, in each Dyck word, each occurrence of *ab* by a letter *x*, and sum all these words, then we obtain the series  $D = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n$  described in Section 1.

If we replace each *ab* by a letter *x*, except those at level 0, then we obtain the series

$$1 + aUb = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} au_n b.$$

For a series *Z* set  $Z^* := (1 - Z)^{-1}$ . Then

$$(aDb)^* = 1 + aUb.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *One has the equation*

$$U = (1 + aUb)(1 + (x - ab + ba)U)$$

that completely defines *U*.

**PROOF.** We have  $(1 - aDb)^{-1} = 1 + aUb$ , thus  $1 - aDb = (-aUb)^*$ .

The defining equation for *D* is

$$D = 1 + (x - ab + aDb)D \quad (3)$$

which is a symmetric version of equation (2); it follows from the Dyck path model, by writing  $D = 1 + (x + a(D - 1)b)D$ . Let  $D = 1 + d_1(a, b, x) + d_2(a, b, x) + \dots$  and polynomials  $d_n(a, b, x)$  that satisfy equations (1) without any assumptions on *x*.

We have

$$1 - aDb = (-aUb)^* = 1 - aUb + (aUb)^2 - (aUb)^3 + \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} aDb &= aUb - (aUb)^2 + (aUb)^3 - \dots = a(U - UbaU + UbaUbaU - \dots)b \\ &= aU(1 - baU + (baU)^2 - \dots)b \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D = U(-baU)^*.$$

Note that (3) implies

$$U(-baU)^* = 1 + (x - ab + aU(-baU)^*b)U(-baU)^*$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= 1 + baU + (x - ab)U + aU(-baU)^*bU \\ &= 1 + (x - ab + ba)U + aU(1 - baU + baUbaU - \dots)bU \\ &= 1 + (x - ab + ba)U + aUbU - aUbaUbaU + aUbaUbaUbaU - \dots \\ &= 1 + (x - ab + ba)U + (-aUb)^*aUbU. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1 + aUb)U = 1 + aUb + (1 + aUb)(x - ab + ba)U + aUbU$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U &= 1 + aUb + (1 + aUb)(x - ab + ba)U \\ &= (1 + aUb)(1 + (x - ab + ba)U). \end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 5. If we put  $x = ab - ba$  in the last equation, then  $U = 1 + aUb$  which implies  $U = \sum_{n \geq 1} a^{n-1}b^{n-1}$  and  $1 + aUb = \sum_{n \geq 0} a^n b^n$ .

Note that Theorem 4 does not imply that all coefficients in  $U$  as series in  $a, b$  and  $x$  are positive. However, simple computations show that the inversion of the series  $1 - aDb$  is written in the form

$$1 + au_1b + au_2b + \dots$$

where the degree of  $u_n$  is  $2n - 2, n \geq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 1, \\ u_2 &= ba + x, \\ u_3 &= (ba)^2 + xba + bax + axb + x^2, \\ u_4 &= (ba)^3 + x(ba)^2 + baxba + (ba)^2x + a^2xb + axb^2a + ba^2xb \\ &\quad + x^2ba + xba x + bax^2 + ax^2b^2 + axbx + xaxb + x^3, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. The positivity follows from the path interpretation at the beginning of the section.

PROBLEM 6. How to write a recurrence relations on  $u_n$  similar to relations (1). It must imply that the number of terms for  $u_n$  is the  $n$ -th Catalan number. It also must show that if  $x = ab - ba$  then  $u_n = a^{n-1}b^{n-1}$ .

We may set  $x = 1$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 1, \quad u_2 = ba + 1, \quad u_3 = (ba)^2 + 2ba + ab + 1, \\ u_4 &= (ba)^3 + 3(ba)^2 + ab^2 + ba^2b + a^2b^2 + 3ba + 3ab + 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 7. How to describe polynomials  $u_n$  for this and other specializations? Any relations with known polynomials?

### 3. The Quasideterminant of a Jacobi Matrix

In this section we discuss solutions of noncommutative quadratic equation (2) using quasideterminants. Recall [1] that quasideterminant  $|A|_{pq}$  of the matrix  $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, 2, \dots$  is defined as follows. Let  $A^{pq}$  be the submatrix of  $A$  obtained from  $A$  by removing its  $p$ -th row and  $q$ -th column. Denote by  $r_p$  and  $c_q$  be the  $p$ -th row and the  $q$ -th column of  $A$  with element  $a_{pq}$  removed. Assume that matrix  $A^{pq}$  is invertible. Then

$$|A|_{pq} := a_{pq} - r_p(A^{pq})^{-1}c_q.$$

Let now  $A = (a_{ij}), i, j \geq 1$  be a Jacobi matrix, i.e.  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $|i - j| > 1$ . Set  $T = I - A$ , where  $I$  is the infinite identity matrix. Recall that

$$|T|_{11}^{-1} = 1 + \sum a_{1j_1}a_{j_1j_2}a_{j_2j_3} \dots a_{j_k1}$$

where the sum is taken over all tuples  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k), j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k \geq 1, k \geq 1$ .

Also,

$$|T|_{11} = 1 - a_{11} - \sum a_{1j_1}a_{j_1j_2}a_{j_2j_3} \dots a_{j_k1}$$

where the sum is taken over all tuples  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k), j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k > 1, k \geq 1$ .

Assume that the degree of all diagonal elements  $a_{ii}$  is two and the degree of all elements  $a_{ij}$  such that  $i \neq j$  is one. Then

$$|T|_{11}^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t_n \quad (3)$$

where  $t_n$  is homogeneous polynomial of degree  $2n$  in variables  $a_{ij}$ .

In particular,

$$t_1 = a_{11} + a_{12}a_{21},$$

$$t_2 = a_{11}^2 + a_{11}a_{12}a_{21} + a_{12}a_{21}a_{11} + a_{12}a_{22}a_{21} + (a_{12}a_{21})^2 + a_{12}a_{23}a_{32}a_{21}.$$

Note that each monomial corresponds, in a one-to-one way, to a ‘‘Schröder walk’’

[2] <http://oeis.org/A006318>, hence:

PROPOSITION 8. *The number of monomials of  $t_n$  is the  $n$ -th Large Schröder Number.*

If we set  $a_{11} = 0$  we get walks obviously counted by the ‘‘little’’ Schröder numbers

[2] <http://oeis.org/A001003>, hence:

PROPOSITION 9. *Set  $a_{11} = 0$ . Then the number of monomials in each  $t_n$  is  $A001003[n]$ .*

Let now  $a, x, b$  be formal variables, the degree of  $a$  and  $b$  is one and the degree of  $x$  is two. Set  $a_{ii} = x - ab$ ,  $a_{i,i+1} = a$ ,  $a_{i+1,i} = b$  for all  $i$ . By the definition of quasideterminants, we have

$$|T|_{11} = 1 - x + ab - a|T|_{11}^{-1}b.$$

Denote  $|T|_{11}^{-1}$  by  $D$ . Then last equation can be written as

$$D^{-1} = 1 - x + ab - aDb$$

or

$$D = 1 + D(x - ab) + DaDb$$

which is exactly our equation (2).

### Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Matthew Russell for his careful reading and numerous corrections and improvements.

### References

- [1] I. M. Gel'fand and V. S. Retakh, *Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **25** (1991), no. 2, 13–25, 96, DOI 10.1007/BF01079588 (Russian); English transl., Funct. Anal. Appl. **25** (1991), no. 2, 91–102. MR1142205 (92k:15018)
- [2] Neil Sloane, *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://www.oeis.org>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON 97403  
E-mail address: [arkadiy@math.uoregon.edu](mailto:arkadiy@math.uoregon.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HILL CENTER- BUSCH CAMPUS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN RD, PISCATAWAY, NEW JERSEY 08854-8019  
E-mail address: [vretakh@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:vretakh@math.rutgers.edu)

LABORATOIRE DE COMBINATOIRE ET D'INFORMATIQUE MATHC)MATIQUE (LACIM) UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC Á MONTRÉAL, CP 8888, SUCC. CENTRE-VILLE, MONTRÉAL (QUÉBEC) H3C 3P8  
E-mail address: [Reutenauer.Christophe@uqam.ca](mailto:Reutenauer.Christophe@uqam.ca)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HILL CENTER- BUSCH CAMPUS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN RD, PISCATAWAY, NEW JERSEY 08854-8019  
E-mail address: [zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu)